

ABSORPTION PROBLEMS FOR QUANTUM WALKS IN ONE DIMENSION

By NORIO KONNO, TAKAO NAMIKI*, TAKAHIRO SOSHI AND AIDAN SUDBURY**

*Yokohama National University, *Hokkaido University and **Monash University*

Abstract. This paper treats absorption problems for the one-dimensional quantum walk determined by a 2×2 unitary matrix U on a state space $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ where N is finite or infinite by using a new path integral approach based on an orthonormal basis P, Q, R and S of the vector space of complex 2×2 matrices. Our method studied here is a natural extension of the approach in the classical random walk.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider absorption problems for quantum walks located on the sets $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ or $\{0, 1, \dots\}$. Before we move to a quantum case, first we describe the classical random walk on a finite set $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ with two absorbing barriers at locations 0 and N (see Grimmett and Stirzaker (1992), Durrett (1999), for examples). The particle moves at each step either one unit to the left with probability p or one unit to the right with probability $q = 1 - p$ until it hits one of the absorbing barriers. The directions of different steps are independent of each other. The classical random walk starting from $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ at time n is denoted by S_n^k here. Let

$$T_m = \min\{n \geq 0 : S_n^k = m\}$$

be the time of the first visit to $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$. Using the subscript k to indicate $S_0^k = k$, we let

$$P_k^{(N)} = P_k(T_0 < T_N)$$

be the probability that the particle hits 0 starting from k before it arrives at N . The absorption problem is well known as the Gambler's ruin problem.

Recently, in quantum walks, various problems including absorption have been widely investigated by a number of groups in connection with quantum computing. Examples include Aharonov *et al.* (2001), Ambainis *et al.* (2001), Bach *et al.* (2002), Childs, Farhi and Gutmann (2002), Dür *et al.* (2002), Kempe (2002), Konno, Namiki and Soshi (2002), Konno (2002a, 2002b), Mackay *et al.* (2002), Moore and Russell (2001), Travaglione and

Abbr. title: Absorption problems of quantum walks.

Key words and phrases. quantum walk, the Hadamard walk, absorption problem.

Milburn (2002), Yamasaki, Kobayashi and Imai (2002). For a more general setting including quantum cellular automata, see Meyer (1996). A more mathematical point of view can be found in Brylinsky and Chen (2002).

The study of absorption problems in the classical case is important in many fields including physics, biology, and computer science. The behavior of quantum walks differs from that of classical random walks in several striking ways. Let X_n^φ be the quantum walk on a line with no absorbing boundaries at time n starting from initial qubit state φ . In the symmetric classical case the distribution of the scaled position $S_n^0/\sqrt{n}$ converges to $N(0, 1)$, the standard normal, as $(n \rightarrow \infty)$. However, a differently rescaled quantum walk X_n^φ/n converges to a random variable Z^φ where Z^φ has a density $1/\pi(1-x^2)\sqrt{1-2x^2}$ for $x \in (-\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2)$ (see Konno (2002a, 2002b)). As a corollary, it is shown that, whereas the standard deviation σ_n at time n in the classical case is $\sqrt{n}$, in the quantum case it is $\sqrt{(2-\sqrt{2})} \times n (\approx 0.5412 \times n)$ for large n . It is hoped that quantum walks may have many new applications as in the classical case. The main interest of our paper is in studying quantum walks with one or two absorbing boundaries.

This paper is organized as follows. The quantum walk considered here is determined by a 2×2 unitary matrix U . In Section 2, we give a definition of the quantum walk and introduce a new path integral approach based on an orthonormal basis P, Q, R , and S of the vector space of complex 2×2 matrices which is called the PQRS method here. Section 3 provides some known results on absorption problems for classical and quantum cases. Section 4 is devoted to our new results. We summarize this paper in Section 5, which mentions a direction for further study.

2 Definition and PQRS Method

The time evolution of the one-dimensional quantum walk studied here is given by the following unitary matrix (see Nielsen and Chuang (2000), Brylinsky and Chen (2002), for examples):

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ is the set of complex numbers. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a|^2 + |b|^2 &= |c|^2 + |d|^2 = 1, & a\bar{c} + b\bar{d} &= 0, \\ c &= -\Delta\bar{b}, & d &= \Delta\bar{a} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{z}$ is a complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\Delta = \det U = ad - bc$. We should note that the unitarity of U gives $|\Delta| = 1$.

The quantum walk is a quantum generalization of the classical random walk with an additional degree of freedom called the chirality. The chirality takes values left and right, and means the direction of the motion of the particle. The evolution of the quantum walk is given by the following way. At each time step, if the particle has the left chirality, it moves one unit to the left, and if it has the right chirality, it moves one unit to the right.

The unitary matrix U acts on two chirality states $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} |L\rangle &\rightarrow a|L\rangle + c|R\rangle \\ |R\rangle &\rightarrow b|L\rangle + d|R\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where L and R refer to the right and left chirality state respectively. In fact, define

$$|L\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad |R\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned} U|L\rangle &= a|L\rangle + c|R\rangle \\ U|R\rangle &= b|L\rangle + d|R\rangle \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, at any time n , the amplitude of the location of the particle is defined by a 2-vector $\in \mathbf{C}^2$ at each location $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$. The probability the particle is at location j is given by the square of the modulus of the vector at j . If $|\Psi_j(n)\rangle$ define the amplitude at time n at location j where

$$|\Psi_j(n)\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_j^L(n) \\ \psi_j^R(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

with the chirality being left (upper component) or right (lower component), then the dynamics for $|\Psi_j(n)\rangle$ in quantum walks is given by the following transformation:

$$|\Psi_j(n+1)\rangle = |L\rangle\langle L|U|\Psi_{j+1}(n)\rangle + |R\rangle\langle R|U|\Psi_{j-1}(n)\rangle$$

The above equation can be rewritten as

$$(2.1) \quad |\Psi_j(n+1)\rangle = P|\Psi_{j+1}(n)\rangle + Q|\Psi_{j-1}(n)\rangle$$

where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

We see $U = P+Q$. The unitarity of U ensures that the amplitude always defines a probability distribution for the location.

The simplest and well-studied example of a quantum walk is the Hadamard walk whose unitary matrix U is defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The dynamics of this walk corresponds to that of the symmetric random walk in the classical case. In general, the following unitary matrices can also lead to symmetric walks:

$$U_{\eta, \phi, \psi} = \frac{e^{i\eta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i(\phi+\psi)} & e^{-i(\phi-\psi)} \\ e^{i(\phi-\psi)} & -e^{-i(\phi+\psi)} \end{bmatrix}$$

where η, ϕ , and ψ are real numbers (see pp.175-176 in Nielsen and Chuang (2000), for example). In particular, we see $U_{0,0,0} = H$.

However symmetry of the Hadamard walk depends heavily on the initial qubit state, see Konno, Namiki and Soshi (2002). Another extension of the Hadamard walk is:

$$H(\rho) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\rho} & \sqrt{1-\rho} \\ \sqrt{1-\rho} & -\sqrt{\rho} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$. Note that $\rho = 1/2$ is the Hadamard walk, that is, $H = H(1/2)$.

In the present paper, the study on the dependence of some important quantities (e.g., absorption probability) on initial qubit state is one of the essential parts, so we define the collection of initial qubit states as follows:

$$\Phi = \left\{ \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{C}^2 : |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\}$$

Let X_n^φ be the quantum walk at time n starting from initial qubit state $\varphi \in \Phi$ with $X_0^\varphi = 0$. In contrast with classical random walks, X_n^φ can not be written as $X_n^\varphi = Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$ where $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$ are independent and identically distributed random variables. In our treatment of quantum walks, as well as the matrices P and Q , it is convenient to introduce

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

We should remark that P, Q, R , and S form an orthonormal basis of the vector space of complex 2×2 matrices $M_2(\mathbf{C})$ with respect to the trace inner product $\langle A|B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^*B)$. Therefore we can express any 2×2 matrix A conveniently in the form,

$$(2.2) \quad A = \text{tr}(P^*A)P + \text{tr}(Q^*A)Q + \text{tr}(R^*A)R + \text{tr}(S^*A)S$$

We call the analysis based on P, Q, R , and S the PQRS method.

The $n \times n$ unit and zero matrices are written I_n and O_n respectively. For instance, if $A = I_2$, then

$$(2.3) \quad I_2 = \bar{a}P + \bar{d}Q + \bar{c}R + \bar{b}S$$

The next table of products of P, Q, R , and S is very useful in computing some quantities:

	P	Q	R	S
P	aP	bR	aR	bP
Q	cS	dQ	cQ	dS
R	cP	dR	cR	dP
S	aS	bQ	aQ	bS

where $PQ = bR$, for example.

Now we describe the evolution and measurement of quantum walks starting from location k on $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ with absorbing boundaries (see Ambainis *et al.* (2001), Bach *et al.* (2002), and Kempe (2002) for more detailed information, for examples).

First we consider $N = \infty$ case. In this case, an absorbing boundary is present at location 0. The evolution mechanism is described as follows:

Step 1. Initialize the system $\varphi \in \Phi$ at location k .

Step 2. (a) Apply Eq. (2.1) to one step time evolution. (b) Measure the system to see where it is or is not at location 0.

Step 3. If the result of measurement revealed that the system was at location 0, then terminate the process, otherwise repeat step 2.

In this setting, let $\Xi_k^{(\infty)}(n)$ be the sum over possible paths for which the particle first hits 0 at time n starting from k . For example,

$$(2.4) \quad \Xi_1^{(\infty)}(5) = P^2 Q P Q + P^3 Q^2 = (ab^2c + a^2bd)R$$

The probability that the particle first hits 0 at time n starting from k is

$$(2.5) \quad P_k^{(\infty)}(n; \varphi) = |\Xi_k^{(\infty)}(n)\varphi|^2$$

So the probability that the particle first hits 0 starting from k is

$$P_k^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_k^{(\infty)}(n; \varphi)$$

Next we consider $N < \infty$ case. This case is similar to the $N = \infty$ case, except that two absorbing boundaries are presented at locations 0 and N as follows:

Step 1. Initialize the system $\varphi \in \Phi$ at location k .

Step 2. (a) Apply Eq. (2.1) to one step time evolution. (b) Measure the system to see where it is or is not at location 0. (c) Measure the system to see where it is or is not at location N .

Step 3. If the result of either measurement revealed that the system was either at location 0 or location N , then terminate the process, otherwise repeat step 2.

Let $\Xi_k^{(N)}(n)$ be the sum over possible paths for which the particle first hits 0 at time n starting from k before it arrives at N . For example,

$$\Xi_1^{(N)}(5) = P^2 Q P Q = ab^2cR$$

In a similar way, we can define $P_k^{(N)}(n; \varphi)$ and $P_k^{(N)}(\varphi)$.

3 Facts for the Classical and Quantum Cases

In this section we review some results and conjectures on absorption problems related to this paper for both classical and quantum walks in one dimension.

First we review the classical case. As we described in the Introduction, $P_k^{(N)} = P_k(T_0 < T_N)$ denotes the probability that the particle hits 0 starting from k before it arrives at N . We may use conditional probabilities to see that $P_k^{(N)}$ satisfies the following difference equation:

$$(3.6) \quad P_k^{(N)} = pP_{k-1}^{(N)} + qP_{k+1}^{(N)} \quad (1 \leq k \leq N-1)$$

with boundary conditions:

$$(3.7) \quad P_0^{(N)} = 1, \quad P_N^{(N)} = 0$$

The solution of such a difference equation is given by

$$(3.8) \quad P_k^{(N)} = 1 - \frac{k}{N} \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1/2$$

$$(3.9) \quad P_k^{(N)} = \frac{(p/q)^k - (p/q)^N}{1 - (p/q)^N} \quad \text{if} \quad p \neq 1/2$$

for any $0 \leq k \leq N$. Therefore, when $N = \infty$, we see that

$$(3.10) \quad P_k^{(\infty)} = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 1/2 \leq p \leq 1$$

$$(3.11) \quad P_k^{(\infty)} = (p/q)^k \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq p < 1/2$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{(\infty)} = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 1/2 \leq p \leq 1$$

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{(\infty)} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq p < 1/2$$

Let T_0 be the first hitting time to 0. We consider the conditional expectation of T_0 starting from $k = 1$ given the event $\{T_0 < \infty\}$, that is, $E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 | T_0 < \infty) = E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0; T_0 < \infty) / P_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 < \infty) = E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0; T_0 < \infty) / P_1^{(\infty)}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 | T_0 < \infty) &= \frac{2p}{\sqrt{1-4pq}} - 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 1/2 < p \leq 1 \\ E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 | T_0 < \infty) &= \infty \quad \text{if} \quad p = 1/2 \\ E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 | T_0 < \infty) &= \frac{2q}{\sqrt{1-4pq}} - 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \leq p < 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

Next we review the quantum case. In the case of $U = H$ (the Hadamard walk), when $N = \infty$, that is, when the state space is $\{0, 1, \dots\}$ case, Ambainis *et al.* (2001) proved

$$(3.14) \quad P_1^{(\infty)}({}^t[0, 1]) = P_1^{(\infty)}({}^t[1, 0]) = \frac{2}{\pi}$$

and Bach *et al.* (2002) showed

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) |\alpha|^2 + \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2}\right) |\beta|^2 + 2 \left(\frac{1}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Re(\bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

for any initial qubit state $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$. Furthermore, in the case of $U = H(\rho)$, Bach *et al.* (2002) gave

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{(\infty)}({}^t[0, 1]) &= \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \left(\frac{\cos^{-1}(1-2\rho)}{\pi} - 1 \right) + \frac{2}{\pi \sqrt{1/\rho - 1}} \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{(\infty)}({}^t[1, 0]) &= \frac{\cos^{-1}(1-2\rho)}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

The second result was conjectured by Yamasaki, Kobayashi and Imai (2002).

When N is finite the following conjecture by Ambainis *et al.* (2001) is still open for the $U = H$ case:

$$P_1^{(N+1)}(t[0, 1]) = \frac{2P_1^{(N)}(t[0, 1]) + 1}{2P_1^{(N)}(t[0, 1]) + 2} \quad (N \geq 1), \quad P_1^{(1)}(t[0, 1]) = 0.$$

Solving the above recurrence gives

$$(3.15) \quad P_1^{(N)}(t[0, 1]) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \times \frac{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^{N-1} - 1}{(3 + 2\sqrt{2})^{N-1} + 1} \quad (N \geq 1)$$

However in contrast with $P_1^{(\infty)}(t[0, 1]) = 2/\pi$, Ambainis *et al.* (2001) proved

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P_1^{(N)}(t[0, 1]) = 1/\sqrt{2}$$

It should be noted that $P_k^{(\infty)} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P_k^{(N)}$ for any $0 \leq k \leq N$ in the classical case (see Eqs. (3.8) - (3.11)).

We are not aware of results concerning $E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 | T_0 < \infty)$ having been published, however we will give such a result in the next section.

4 Our Results

In the first half of this section, we consider a general setting including a hitting time to N before it arrives at 0 or a hitting time to 0 before it arrives at N , when N is finite. In the case of $N = \infty$, a similar argument holds, so we will omit it here.

Noting that $\{P, Q, R, S\}$ is a basis of $M_2(\mathbf{C})$, $\Xi_k^{(N)}(n)$ can be written as

$$\Xi_k^{(N)}(n) = p_k^{(N)}(n)P + q_k^{(N)}(n)Q + r_k^{(N)}(n)R + s_k^{(N)}(n)S$$

Therefore Eq. (2.5) implies

$$P_k^{(N)}(n; \varphi) = |\Xi_k^{(N)}(n)\varphi|^2 = C_1(n)|\alpha|^2 + C_2(n)|\beta|^2 + 2\Re(C_3(n)\bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

where $\Re(z)$ is the real part of $z \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(n) &= |ap_k^{(N)}(n) + cr_k^{(N)}(n)|^2 + |as_k^{(N)}(n) + cq_k^{(N)}(n)|^2 \\ C_2(n) &= |bp_k^{(N)}(n) + dr_k^{(N)}(n)|^2 + |bs_k^{(N)}(n) + dq_k^{(N)}(n)|^2 \\ C_3(n) &= \overline{(ap_k^{(N)}(n) + cr_k^{(N)}(n))} (bp_k^{(N)}(n) + dr_k^{(N)}(n)) \\ &\quad + \overline{(as_k^{(N)}(n) + cq_k^{(N)}(n))} (bs_k^{(N)}(n) + dq_k^{(N)}(n)) \end{aligned}$$

From now on we assume $N \geq 3$. Noting that the definition of $\Xi_k^{(N)}(n)$, we see that for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$,

$$\Xi_k^{(N)}(n) = \Xi_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1)P + \Xi_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1)Q$$

The above equation is a quantum version of the difference equation, i.e., Eq. (3.6) for the classical random walk. As an example, see Eq. (2.4). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^{(N)}(n) &= ap_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1) + cr_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1) \\ q_k^{(N)}(n) &= dq_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1) + bs_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1) \\ r_k^{(N)}(n) &= bp_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1) + dr_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1) \\ s_k^{(N)}(n) &= cq_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1) + as_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

Next we consider boundary condition related to Eq. (3.7) in the classical case. When $k = N$,

$$P_N^{(N)}(0; \varphi) = |\Xi_N^{(N)}(0)\varphi|^2 = 0$$

for any $\varphi \in \Phi$. So we take $\Xi_N^{(N)}(0) = O_2$, i.e.,

$$p_N^{(N)}(0) = q_N^{(N)}(0) = r_N^{(N)}(0) = s_N^{(N)}(0) = 0$$

If $k = 0$, then

$$P_0^{(N)}(0; \varphi) = |\Xi_0^{(N)}(0)\varphi|^2 = 1$$

for any $\varphi \in \Phi$. So we choose $\Xi_0^{(N)}(0) = I_2$. From Eq. (2.3), we have

$$p_0^{(N)}(0) = \bar{a}, \quad q_0^{(N)}(0) = \bar{d}, \quad r_0^{(N)}(0) = \bar{c}, \quad s_0^{(N)}(0) = \bar{b}$$

Let

$$v_k^{(N)}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} p_k^{(N)}(n) \\ r_k^{(N)}(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad w_k^{(N)}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} q_k^{(N)}(n) \\ s_k^{(N)}(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we see that for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq N-1$,

$$(4.16) \quad v_k^{(N)}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} v_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} v_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1)$$

$$(4.17) \quad w_k^{(N)}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & a \end{bmatrix} w_{k-1}^{(N)}(n-1) + \begin{bmatrix} d & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} w_{k+1}^{(N)}(n-1)$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_0^{(N)}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} \\ \bar{c} \end{bmatrix}, & v_N^{(N)}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ w_0^{(N)}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} \bar{d} \\ \bar{b} \end{bmatrix}, & w_N^{(N)}(0) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$v_0^{(N)}(n) = v_N^{(N)}(n) = w_0^{(N)}(n) = w_N^{(N)}(n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (n \geq 1)$$

From now on we focus on $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ case. So we consider only $n \geq 1$ case. Moreover from the definition of $\Xi_k^{(N)}(n)$, it is easily shown that there exist only two types of paths, that is, $P \dots P$ and $P \dots Q$. Therefore we see that $q_k^{(N)}(n) = s_k^{(N)}(n) = 0$ ($n \geq 1$). So we have

LEMMA 1

$$P_k^{(N)}(\varphi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_k^{(N)}(n; \varphi)$$

$$P_k^{(N)}(n; \varphi) = C_1(n)|\alpha|^2 + C_2(n)|\beta|^2 + 2\Re(C_3(n)\bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

where $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$ and

$$C_1(n) = |ap_k^{(N)}(n) + cr_k^{(N)}(n)|^2$$

$$C_2(n) = |bp_k^{(N)}(n) + dr_k^{(N)}(n)|^2$$

$$C_3(n) = \overline{(ap_k^{(N)}(n) + cr_k^{(N)}(n))}(bp_k^{(N)}(n) + dr_k^{(N)}(n))$$

To solve $P_k^{(N)}(\varphi)$, we introduce generating functions of $p_k^{(N)}(n)$ and $r_k^{(N)}(n)$ as follows:

$$p_k^{(N)}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_k^{(N)}(n)z^n$$

$$r_k^{(N)}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_k^{(N)}(n)z^n$$

By Eq. (4.16), we have

$$p_k^{(N)}(z) = azp_{k-1}^{(N)}(z) + czr_{k-1}^{(N)}(z)$$

$$r_k^{(N)}(z) = bzp_{k+1}^{(N)}(z) + dzr_{k+1}^{(N)}(z)$$

Solving these, we see that both $p_k^{(N)}(z)$ and $r_k^{(N)}(z)$ satisfy the same recurrence:

$$dp_{k+2}^{(N)}(z) - \left(\Delta z + \frac{1}{z}\right)p_{k+1}^{(N)}(z) + ap_k^{(N)}(z) = 0$$

$$dr_{k+2}^{(N)}(z) - \left(\Delta z + \frac{1}{z}\right)r_{k+1}^{(N)}(z) + ar_k^{(N)}(z) = 0$$

From the characteristic equations with respect to the above recurrences, we have the same roots: if $a \neq 0$, then

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\Delta z^2 + 1 \mp \sqrt{\Delta^2 z^4 + 2\Delta(1 - 2|a|^2)z^2 + 1}}{2\Delta\bar{a}z}$$

where $\Delta = \det U = ad - bc$.

From now on we consider mainly $U = H$ (the Hadamard walk) case with $N = \infty$. Remark that the definition of $\Xi_1^{(\infty)}(n)$ gives $p_1^{(\infty)}(n) = 0$ ($n \geq 2$) and $p_1^{(\infty)}(1) = 1$. So we have $p_1^{(\infty)}(z) = z$. Moreover noting $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_k^{(\infty)}(z) < \infty$, the following explicit form is obtained:

$$p_k^{(\infty)}(z) = z\lambda_+^{k-1}$$

$$r_k^{(\infty)}(z) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{z^4 + 1}}{z}\lambda_+^{k-1}$$

where

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{z^2 - 1 \pm \sqrt{z^4 + 1}}{\sqrt{2}z}.$$

Therefore for $k = 1$,

$$r_1^{(\infty)}(z) = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{z^4 + 1}}{z}$$

From the above equation and the definition of the hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 z^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{n}^2 z^{4n-1} = \frac{{}_2F_1(-1/2, -1/2; 1; z^4) - 1}{z}$$

On the other hand, it should be noted that

$${}_2F_1(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)} \quad (\Re(a+b-c) < 0)$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function defined by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{z-1} dt \quad (\Re(z) > 0)$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 = \frac{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(3/2)^2} - 1 = \frac{4}{\pi} - 1$$

since $\Gamma(1) = \Gamma(2) = 1$ and $\Gamma(3/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$. By Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2} \{ (p_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 + (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 \} \right. \\ & \left. + p_1^{(\infty)}(n)r_1^{(\infty)}(n)(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) + \frac{1}{2} \{ (p_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 - (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 \} (\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p_1^{(\infty)}(n)r_1^{(\infty)}(n) = 0$ ($n \geq 1$), since $p_1^{(\infty)}(n) = 0$ ($n \geq 2$), $p_1^{(\infty)}(1) = 1$ and $r_1^{(\infty)}(1) = 0$. So we have

$$(4.18) \quad P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = \frac{2}{\pi} + 2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \Re(\bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

for any initial qubit state $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$. This result is a generalization of Eq. (3.14) given by Ambainis *et al.* (2001). From Eq. (4.18), we get the range of $P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi)$:

$$\frac{4-\pi}{\pi} \leq P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi) \leq 1$$

the equality holds in the following cases:

$$P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = 1 \text{ iff } \varphi = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = \frac{4-\pi}{\pi} \text{ iff } \varphi = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$.

Moreover we consider the conditional expectation of the first hitting time to 0 starting from $k = 1$ given an event $\{T_0 < \infty\}$, that is, $E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0|T_0 < \infty) = E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0; T_0 < \infty)/P_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 < \infty)$. Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 z^n \left(= \frac{{}_2F_1(-1/2, -1/2; 1; z^4) - 1}{z} \right)$$

In this case we have to know the value of $f'(1)$. It should be noted that

$$\frac{d}{dz} ({}_2F_1(a, b; c; g(z))) = \left(\frac{ab}{c} \right) {}_2F_1(a+1, b+1; c+1; g(z)) g'(z)$$

The above formula gives

$$f'(z) = \frac{z^4 {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2; z^4) - {}_2F_1(-1/2, -1/2; 1; z^4) + 1}{z^2}$$

Moreover noting

$${}_2F_1(-1/2, -1/2; 1; 1) = {}_2F_1(1/2, 1/2; 2; 1) = \frac{4}{\pi}$$

we have $f'(1) = 1$. Therefore the desired conclusion is obtained:

$$E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0|T_0 < \infty) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 = n)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 = n)} = \frac{1}{P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi)}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 = n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left[\frac{1}{2} \{ (p_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 + (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 \} + \frac{1}{2} \{ (p_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 - (r_1^{(\infty)}(n))^2 \} (\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{1 + f'(1)\} + \frac{1}{2} \{1 - f'(1)\} (\alpha\bar{\beta} + \bar{\alpha}\beta) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we see that $f''(1) = \infty$ implies

$$E_1^{(\infty)}((T_0)^2|T_0 < \infty) = \infty$$

so the m th moment $E_1^{(\infty)}((T_0)^m|T_0 < \infty)$ diverges for $m \geq 2$.

Next we consider the finite N case. Then $p_k^{(N)}(z)$ and $r_k^{(N)}(z)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^{(N)}(z) &= A_z \lambda_+^{k-1} + B_z \lambda_-^{k-1} \\ r_k^{(N)}(z) &= C_z \lambda_+^{k-N+1} + D_z \lambda_-^{k-N+1} \end{aligned}$$

since $\lambda_+ \lambda_- = -1$. All we have to do is to determine the coefficients A_z, B_z, C_z, D_z by using boundary conditions: $p_1^{(N)}(z) = z$ and $r_{N-1}^{(N)}(z) = 0$ come from the definition of $\Xi_k^{(N)}(n)$. The boundary conditions imply $C_z + D_z = 0$ and $A_z + B_z = z$, so we see

$$(4.19) \quad p_k^{(N)}(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2} + E_z \right) \lambda_+^{k-1} + \left(\frac{z}{2} - E_z \right) \lambda_-^{k-1}$$

$$(4.20) \quad r_k^{(N)}(z) = C_z (\lambda_+^{k-N+1} - \lambda_-^{k-N+1})$$

where $E_z = A_z - z/2 = z/2 - B_z$. To obtain E_z and C_z , we use $r_1^{(N)}(z) = (p_2^{(N)}(z) - r_2^{(N)}(z))z/\sqrt{2}$ and $r_{N-2}^{(N)}(z) = (p_{N-1}^{(N)}(z) - r_{N-1}^{(N)}(z))z/\sqrt{2} = p_{N-1}^{(N)}(z)z/\sqrt{2}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} C_z(\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{2} + E_z \right) \lambda_+^{N-2} + \left(\frac{z}{2} - E_z \right) \lambda_-^{N-2} \right\} \\ C_z(\lambda_+^{N-2} - \lambda_-^{N-2}) &= \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left(\frac{z}{2} + E_z \right) (-1)^{N-1} \lambda_+ + \left(\frac{z}{2} - E_z \right) (-1)^{N-1} \lambda_- + C_z(\lambda_+^{N-3} - \lambda_-^{N-3}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above equations gives

$$(4.21) \quad C_z = \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{2}} (-1)^{N-2} (\lambda_+^{N-3} - \lambda_-^{N-3}) \times \left\{ (\lambda_+^{N-2} - \lambda_-^{N-2})^2 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_+^{N-2} - \lambda_-^{N-2}) (\lambda_+^{N-3} - \lambda_-^{N-3}) - (-1)^{N-3} (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-)^2 \right\}^{-1}$$

$$(4.22) \quad E_z = -\frac{z}{2(\lambda_+^{N-2} - \lambda_-^{N-2})} \left[2(-1)^{N-3} (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-) (\lambda_+^{N-3} - \lambda_-^{N-3}) \times \left\{ (\lambda_+^{N-2} - \lambda_-^{N-2})^2 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{2}} (\lambda_+^{N-2} - \lambda_-^{N-2}) (\lambda_+^{N-3} - \lambda_-^{N-3}) - (-1)^{N-3} (\lambda_+ - \lambda_-)^2 \right\}^{-1} + (\lambda_+^{N-2} + \lambda_-^{N-2}) \right]$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain

THEOREM 2

$$P_k^{(N)}(\varphi) = C_1 |\alpha|^2 + C_2 |\beta|^2 + 2\Re(C_3 \bar{\alpha} \beta)$$

where $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |ap_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta}) + cr_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\ C_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |bp_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta}) + dr_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \\ C_3 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{(ap_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta}) + cr_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta}))} (bp_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta}) + dr_k^{(N)}(e^{i\theta})) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

with $a = b = c = -d = 1/\sqrt{2}$, here $p_k^{(N)}(z)$ and $r_k^{(N)}(z)$ satisfy Eqs. (4.19) and (4.20), and C_z and E_z satisfy Eqs. (4.21) and (4.22).

Therefore to compute $P_k^{(N)}(\varphi)$, we need to obtain both $p_k^{(N)}(z)$ and $r_k^{(N)}(z)$, and calculate the above C_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) explicitly.

Here we consider $U = H$ (the Hadamard walk), $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta]$ and $k = 1$. From Theorem 2, noting that $p_1^{(N)}(z) = z$ for any $N \geq 2$, we have

COROLLARY 3 For $N \geq 2$,

$$P_1^{(N)}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |r_1^{(N)}(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right) (1 + 2\Re(\bar{\alpha}\beta))$$

where $r_1^{(2)}(z) = 0$, $r_1^{(3)}(z) = z^3/(2 - z^2)$,

$$r_1^{(4)}(z) = \frac{z^3(1 - z^2)}{2 - 2z^2 + z^4}, \quad r_1^{(5)}(z) = \frac{z^3(2 - 3z^2 + 2z^4)}{4 - 6z^2 + 5z^4 - 2z^6}, \quad r_1^{(6)}(z) = \frac{2z^3(1 - z^2)(1 - z^2 + z^4)}{4 - 8z^2 + 9z^4 - 6z^6 + 2z^8}$$

and in general for $N \geq 4$

$$r_1^{(N)}(z) = -\frac{z^2 J_{N-3}(z) J_{N-4}(z)}{\sqrt{2}(J_{N-3}(z))^2 - z J_{N-3}(z) J_{N-4}(z) - \sqrt{2}(-1)^{N-3}}$$

with

$$J_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_+^k \lambda_-^{n-k}, \quad \lambda_+ + \lambda_- = \sqrt{2} \left(z - \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad \lambda_+ \lambda_- = -1$$

In particular, when $\varphi = {}^t[0, 1] = |R\rangle$, $k = 1$ and $N = 2, \dots, 6$ cases, the above corollary gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_1^{(2)}({}^t[0, 1]) &= \frac{1}{2}, & P_1^{(3)}({}^t[0, 1]) &= \frac{2}{3}, & P_1^{(4)}({}^t[0, 1]) &= \frac{7}{10}, \\ P_1^{(5)}({}^t[0, 1]) &= \frac{12}{17}, & P_1^{(6)}({}^t[0, 1]) &= \frac{41}{58} \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that the above values $P_1^{(N)}({}^t[0, 1])$ ($N = 2, \dots, 6$) satisfy the conjecture given by Eq. (3.15).

5 Summary

In this paper we consider absorption problems for quantum walks on $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ for both $N < \infty$ and $N = \infty$ cases by using the PQRS method. Here we summarize our main results.

First we describe $N = \infty$ case. In this case, we have

$$P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi) = \frac{2}{\pi} + 2 \left(1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \right) \Re(\bar{\alpha}\beta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^{(\infty)}(T_0 | T_0 < \infty) &= \frac{1}{P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi)} \\ E_1^{(\infty)}((T_0)^m | T_0 < \infty) &= \infty \quad (m \geq 2) \end{aligned}$$

for any initial qubit state $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$, where $P_1^{(\infty)}(\varphi)$ is the probability that the particle first hit location 0 starting from location 1 and $E_1^{(\infty)}((T_0)^m | T_0 < \infty)$ is the conditional m th moment of T_0 starting from location 1 given an event $\{T_0 < \infty\}$ with T_0 is the first hitting time to location 0.

Next we describe $N < \infty$ case. In this case, we obtain the following explicit expression of $P_1^{(N)}(\varphi)$:

$$P_1^{(N)}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |r_1^{(N)}(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right) (1 + 2\Re(\bar{\alpha}\beta))$$

for any initial qubit state $\varphi = {}^t[\alpha, \beta] \in \Phi$, where $P_1^{(N)}(\varphi)$ is the probability that the particle first hit location 0 starting from location 1 before it arrives at location N and $r_1^{(N)}(z)$ is given by Corollary 3 in the previous section.

The above our result guarantees that the conjecture presented by Ambainis *et al.* (see Eq. (3.15)) is true for $N = 2, \dots, 6$. However, their conjecture is still open for any N . So one of the future interesting problems is to find and prove an explicit form like Eq. (3.15) for any $N(\leq \infty)$, $k \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$, and $\varphi \in \Phi$.

Acknowledgments. This work is partially financed by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (No.12440024) of Japan Society of the Promotion of Science.

References

- Aharonov, D., Ambainis, A., Kempe, J. and Vazirani, U. V. (2001). Quantum walks on graphs. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing. 50-59.
- Ambainis, A., Bach, E., Nayak, A., Vishwanath, A. and Watrous, J. (2001). One-dimensional quantum walks. In Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing. 37-49.
- Bach, E., Coppersmith, S., Goldschien, M. P., Joynt, R. and Watrous, J. (2002). One-dimensional quantum walks with absorbing boundaries. quant-ph/0207008.
- Brylinsky, R. K. and Chen, G. (2002). *Mathematics of Quantum Information*. Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Childs, A. M., Farhi, E. and Gutmann, S. (2002). An example of the difference between quantum and classical random walks. *Quantum Information Processing* **1** 35-43.
- Durrett, R. (1999). *Essentials of Stochastic Processes*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Dür, W., Raussendorf, R., Kendon, V. M. and Briegel, H.-J. (2002). Quantum random walks in optical lattices. quant-ph/0207137.
- Grimmett, G. R. and Stirzaker, D. R. (1992). *Probability and Random Processes, 2nd edition*. Oxford University Press Inc., New York.
- Kempe, J. (2002). Quantum random walks hit exponentially faster. quant-ph/0205083.
- Konno, N. (2002a). Quantum random walks in one dimension. quant-ph/0206053.
- Konno, N. (2002b). A new type of limit theorems for the one-dimensional quantum random walk. quant-ph/0206103.
- Konno, N., Namiki, T. and Soshi, T. (2002). Symmetry of distribution for the one-dimensional Hadamard walk. quant-ph/0205065.
- Mackay, T. D., Bartlett, S. D., Stephanson, L. T. and Sanders, B. C. (2002). Quantum walks in higher dimensions. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 2745-2753.

- Meyer, D. (1996). From quantum cellular automata to quantum lattice gases. *J. Stat. Phys.* **85** 551-574.
- Moore, C. and Russell, A. (2001). Quantum walks on the hypercubes. quant-ph/0104137.
- Nielsen, M. A. and Chuang, I. L. (2000). *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Travaglione, B. C. and Milburn, G. J. (2002). Implementing the quantum random walk. *Phys. Rev. A.* **65** 032310.
- Yamasaki, T., Kobayashi, H. and Imai, H. (2002). Analysis of absorbing times of quantum walks. quant-ph/0205045.

Department of Applied Mathematics
 Faculty of Engineering
 Yokohama National University
 Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan
 norio@mathlab.sci.ynu.ac.jp

Division of Mathematics
 Graduate School of Science
 Hokkaido University
 Kita-ku, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan
 nami@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
 Monash University
 Clayton, Victoria 3168, Australia
 Aidan.Sudbury@sci.monash.edu.au